

Evaluation Criteria - Research Professionals Initiative

At NBIF, we do not conduct peer reviews and are therefore are not evaluating the application on its scientific merit, however, the expectation is that you are submitting an application that is scientifically sound, which can be demonstrated through Tri-council (or other organizations) reviews, earlier or other publications of your work in the given area, letters of support from industrial partners applying the innovation or other similar means.

It is assumed that applications are written in plain language (where possible), while addressing the evaluation criteria listed below:

1.	Is the project as described innovative?
	a. Does the project use an innovative process or program to
	solve a well-defined problem for New Brunswickers?
	b. Are you adapting an existing technology for a new purpose?
2.	Is there potential socioeconomic impact (direct or indirect) for NB as
	a result of this work and is the pathway to impact well delineated?
	a. Is there a potential for economic spin off from this work?
	b. Is commercialization anticipated at a later stage in this project?
	c. Are there potential indirect socio-economic impacts?
	 Will the results of the work be leveraged to form policy, inform government decisions or best practices, inform more efficient use of public funds or contribute to a knowledge base that can be leveraged by the non-for- profit sector and public at large?
	d. Is knowledge mobilization/translation planning is in place for the project?
	i. Have sufficient efforts have been made to explain the
	plans for the knowledge generated from the project so
	that it is communicated beyond the scientific community?
3.	Have the principal investigator and their collaborators demonstrated
	capacity to execute the project – in terms of the following?

•



	 a. Infrastructure and Equipment (lab space and capacity, industrial partnerships)
	b Personnel (both directly in the Pl's team and the collaborators
	engaged be they primary researchers post-docs or students)
	c Support from the Pls institution (course release direct funding
	support for students letters of support)
	d. The principal investigator and their collaborators in the project
	have good standing within their specific field of study.
4.	How will the expected skills and expertise of the research
	professional benefit the research program?
	a. The principal investigator provides a clear description of the
	expertise and experience sought in the research professional
	and how the RP may advance the goals of the research
	program described. This can be achieved by supplying a CV of
	the identified candidate and a narrative description in the
	application form. In the case where the professional has not
	been identified and no CV is available, this can be achieved by
	providing a job description.
5.	Are the contributions of the research professional well thought out
	and described?
	a. The research professional will be undertaking work that is
	critical to the research program; their involvement and
	milestones are identified.
	b. The research professional will have the opportunity for
	professional growth through learning new skills such as the
	operations of technical equipment, new training opportunities,
	development of processor, monogement of a research
	program business development activities
	The research professional will have opportunities to improve
	their prospects with respect to career advancement whether
	that be internally through gaining new marketable
	skills/accomplishments or through building professional
	networks.

•



nbif.ca finb.ca

•

d. The research professional will have the opportunity to play a
key role in the mentoring and training of other HQPs, including
students or other lab personnel.

40 ruelle Crowther Lane, Suite – Pièce 110, Fredericton, NB E3C OJ1 Telephone / Téléphone : 506-452-2884 Fax: 506-452-2886 Toll Free / Sans frais : 1-877-554-6668 info@nbif.ca / info@finb.ca